

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/605,412	10/605,412 09/29/2003		Kenneth M. Lenkiewicz	71189-1553	2411
20915	7590	10/20/2004		EXAMINER	
MCGARRY	BAIR F	PC .	SNIDER, THERESA T		
171 MONROE AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 600				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
GRAND RAPIDS, MI 49503				1744	

DATE MAILED: 10/20/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	10/605,412	LENKIEWICZ ET AL.					
-	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Theresa T. Snider	1744					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 06 October 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.							
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]							
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:							
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
<ul><li>(d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceli</li><li>NOTE:</li></ul>	ng a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claims.					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): See Continuation Sheet.							
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).							
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See	reconsideration has been cons Continuation Sheet	idered but does NOT place the					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bec raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly					
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment (explanation of how the new or amended claims wo	s) a) will not be entered or b) uld be rejected is provided belo	⊠ will be entered and an w or appended.					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>11,12 and 17-24</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)							
10.☐ Other:	uesés. Drider						

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) THERESAT. SNIDER

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Theresa T. Snider

Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1744 Continuation of 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): The 102 rejection over Finnel has been replaced with a 103 rejection over the Admitted State of the Prior Art in view of Finnel. Applicant argued that Finnel fails to disclose an upright handle pivotally mounted to the base housing.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because. It is believed that both Rutter et al. and Finnel disclose both the cord and the collar to be in 'substantial' alignment with the axis passing through the cord wraps. It is believed that the relocation of the cord to be on the same axis, absent any unexpected results, would be an obvious design choice to one of ordinary skill in the art.